IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ARCADIS CE, INC., f/k/a MALCOLM : CASE NO. 4:24-cv-01151-MWB

PIRNIE, INC. and ARCADIS U.S., INC.,

Plaintiffs :

vs. :

:

EUREKA RESOURCES, LLC,

Defendant : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.
- 4. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of paragraph 4 and therefore it is denied.
 - 5. Admitted.
 - 6. Admitted.
 - 7. Admitted.
- 8. The allegation of paragraph 8 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.

- 9. Admitted. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27.
- Admitted.
- 28. Admitted.

- 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43.
- 30. Admitted.

Admitted.

- 31. Admitted.
- 32. Admitted.
- 33. Admitted.
- 34. Admitted.
- 35. Admitted.
- 36. Admitted.
- 37. Admitted.
- 38. Admitted.
- 39. Admitted.
- 40. Admitted.
- 41. Admitted.
- 42. Admitted.
- 43. Admitted.
- 44. Admitted.
- 45. Admitted.
- 46. Admitted.
- 47. Admitted.
- 48. Admitted.

- 49. Admitted.
- 50. Admitted.

COUNT I BREACH OF CONTRACT AGAINST EUREKA (IN THE ALTERNATIVE)

- 51. No response required.
- 52. The allegation of paragraph 52 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 53. The allegation of paragraph 53 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 54. The allegation of paragraph 54 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 55. The allegation of paragraph 55 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 56. The allegation of paragraph 56 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.

- 57. The allegation of paragraph 57 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
 - 58. No response required.
- 59. The allegation of paragraph 59 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 60. The allegation of paragraph 60 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 61. The allegation of paragraph 61 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
 - 62. No response required.
- 63. The allegation of paragraph 63 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 64. The allegation of paragraph 64 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.

- 65. The allegation of paragraph 65 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 66. The allegation of paragraph 66 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 67. The allegation of paragraph 67 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.
- 68. The allegation of paragraph 68 is a conclusion of law to which the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require no response. To the extent that a response is deemed necessary, it is denied.

GENERAL DENIAL

To the extent any of the allegations of the Complaint are not admitted or denied hereinabove, Defendants hereby deny those allegations.

DEMAND FOR JURY

Defendant requests jury trial on all claims.

Respectfully submitted,

McNERNEY, PAGE, VANDERLIN & HALL

By: /s/ Noah F. Roux

Thomas C. Marshall, Esquire, I.D. #59326 Noah F. Roux, Esquire, I.D. #334359 Attorneys for Defendant Eureka Resources, LLC

433 Market Street

Williamsport, PA 17701 Telephone: 570-326-6555 Facsimile: 570-326-3170

Email: tmarshall@mpvhlaw.com

Dated: August <u>5</u>, 2024 Email: <u>nroux@mpvhlaw.com</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ARCADIS CE, INC., f/k/a MALCOLM : CASE NO. 4:24-cv-01151-MWB

PIRNIE, INC. and ARCADIS U.S., INC.,

Plaintiff :

vs. :

:

EUREKA RESOURCES, LLC,

Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 5, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the court's electronic filing system to all parties. Parties may access this filing through the court's system.

McNERNEY, PAGE, VANDERLIN & HALL

By: /s/ Noah F. Roux
Thomas C. Marshall, Esquire, I.D. #59326
Noah F. Roux, Esquire, I.D. #334359
Attorneys for Defendant Eureka Resources, LLC

433 Market Street Williamsport, PA 17701 Telephone: 570-326-6555 Facsimile: 570-326-3170

Email: tmarshall@mpvhlaw.com
Email: tmarshall@mpvhlaw.com